

Docket No.: 212603US6PCT

OBLON
SPIVAK
MCCLELLAND
MAIER
&
NEUSTADT
P.C.

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22313

RE: Application Serial No.: 09/890,864

Filing Date: December 21, 2001

Applicants: Wulf HAUSSLER, et al.

For: METHOD FOR PRODUCING SOLAR CELLS AND

THIN-FILM SOLAR CELL

Group Art Unit: 1753

Examiner: MUTSCHLER, BRIAN L

SIR:

Attached hereto for filing are the following papers:

COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

Our credit card payment form in the amount of \$0.00 is attached covering any required fees. In the event any variance exists between the amount enclosed and the Patent Office charges for filing the above-noted documents, including any fees required under 37 C.F.R 1.136 for any necessary Extension of Time to make the filing of the attached documents timely, please charge or credit the difference to our Deposit Account No. 15-0030. Further, if these papers are not considered timely filed, then a petition is hereby made under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 for the necessary extension of time. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,

MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

Gregory J. Maier

Registration No. 25,599

Joseph A. Scafetta, Jr. Registration No. 26,803

Raymond F. Cardillo

Registration No. 40,440

Customer Number

22850

(703) 413-3000 (phone) (703) 413-2220 (fax)



DOCKET NO: 212603US-6 PCT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN RE APPLICATION OF

WULF HAUSSLER, ET AL.

: EXAMINER: MUTSCHLER B.

SERIAL NO: 09/890,864

RCE FILED: OCTOBER 14, 2003

: GROUP ART UNIT: 1753

FOR: METHOD FOR PRODUCING

SOLAR CELLS AND THIN-FILM SOLAR

CELL

COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22313

SIR:

The Examiner's reasons for allowance (provided in the "Examiner's Amendment") included in the Notice of Allowability (PTOL-37) mailed July 14, 2004, is apparently directed to the subject matter of independent Claims 15, 24 and 33. However, the reasons for allowance improperly describe the subject matter recited in Claim 33.

The reasons for allowance state that the prior art fails to teach or suggest a plurality of refractive, non-conductive oxide or nitride layers between an absorber layer and a window electrode. However, allowed independent Claim 33 recites "at least one of said plurality of refractive layers composed of non-conductive oxide or nitride..." Therefore, the Examiner's

Reasons for Allowance appear to be directed to Claims 15 and 24, but inaccurately describe the subject matter recited in independent Claim 33.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,

MAIER & NEUSTADT, P

 $\begin{array}{c} \text{Customer Number} \\ 22850 \end{array}$

Tel: (703) 413-3000 Fax: (703) 413 -2220 (OSMMN 06/04) Gregory J./Måier Attorney of Record Registration No. 25,599 Raymond F. Cardillo Registration No. 40,440

I:\ATTY\ATH\PROSECUTION\21'S\212603-US\212603-STATEMENT.DOC